\underline{P} \underline{R} \underline{O} \underline{C} \underline{E} \underline{E} \underline{D} \underline{I} \underline{N} \underline{G} \underline{S}

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(Whereupon, at 3:50 p.m., the hearing begins.)

MS. ADAMS: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Teresa Adams on behalf of the State. I call State of Maryland versus Samuel Lee Glover. There are two files. They are K-94-20276 and K-94-20703.

MR. TAYBACK: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Gordon Tayback on behalf of the Defendant, Samuel Lee Glover, who is in court beside me. Your Honor, pursuant to various discussions we have had over the weeks, Mr. Glover is electing to change his pleas of not guilty generally to the two cases to guilty as to Counts 2, 3, 5 of K-94-20276 and as to Count 1 of K-94-20703.

MS. ADAMS: That is my understanding as well, Your Honor. For the record those counts are the top counts were the most serious counts with regard to each victim in this case, Steven Glover, Robert Glover, Lewis Campbell and Shawn Glover. In exchange, Your Honor, the State will be entering nolle prosequi to the lesser included charges with regard to each of those victims.

THE COURT: All these counts are Article 27 Section 464 First Degree Sex Offenses.

MR. TAYBACK: No, Your Honor. The one in -- the one in K-94-20703 is a second degree sex offense. Under the same -- under the same general article.

MS. ADAMS: Excuse me, Your Honor, it is Section 1 464(a). And the 3 in 20276 are in fact 464. THE COURT: 3 MR. TAYBACK: Yes they are. 4 MS. ADAMS: That is correct. 5 THE COURT: Will you advise your client, Mr. 6 Tayback? 7 MR. TAYBACK: I will. 8 Now, Mr. Glover as I have advised you off the 9 record, I will now advise you on the record that I am going to 10 ask a series of questions of you so that His Honor can 11 understand that your guilty pleas to these particular counts 1.2 are voluntarily made and with knowledge of the consequences. 13 Again if there is any question that you do not understand, or 14 that you wish for me to explain further please interrupt me at 15 that time. 16 If you have any questions for the judge at any 17 appropriate time, certainly pose those questions through me to him. I would ask that you would state your name, your address, 19 your age and how far you have gone in school. 20 Samuel Lee Glover. I am 50. The THE DEFENDANT: 21 address is 4020 Osborne Road. Reisterstown. 22 MR. TAYBACK: And how far have you gone in school, 23 Mr. Glover? 24 THE DEFENDANT: 12th grade. 25

| 1 | MR. TAYBACK: You can read and write English then? |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | THE DEFENDANT: Yes. |
| 3 | MR. TAYBACK: And you understand what I am saying |
| 4 | today? |
| 5 | THE DEFENDANT: Yes. |
| 6 | MR. TAYBACK: Are you under the influence of any |
| 7 | drugs or alcohol at the present time? And that would include |
| 8 | prescription drugs? |
| 9 | THE DEFENDANT: No. |
| 10 | MR. TAYBACK: Do you believe that your mind is clear |
| 11 | today? |
| 12 | THE DEFENDANT: Yes. |
| 13 | MR. TAYBACK: Have you ever been treated by a |
| 14 | psychiatrist or psychologist or any mental health professional |
| 15 | either in or out of a hospital for any mental disease or |
| 16 | defect? |
| 17 | THE DEFENDANT: No. |
| 18 | |
| 19 | charges to which you are pleading guilty, you have the |
| 20 | absolute right to a jury trial. A jury trial is a trial |
| 2 | before 12 people in the State of Maryland. In the individual |
| 2 | counties or judicial jurisdictions, those people come from the |
| 2 | motor rolls or motor vehicle rolls to indicate that they live |
| 2 | 4 within that particular jurisdiction. |
| 2 | Here in Carroll County, a larger group would come |

lnc "

into the courtroom. You through me would participate in the selection of the actual 12 people who would constitute the jury. All 12 of those individuals would have to agree together, that means they would have to be unanimous in their decision before they could render a verdict either of guilt or innocense in a criminal case.

The State's Attorney has the responsibility in a criminal case to prove the guilt of a Defendant beyond a reasonable doubt. If all 12 jurors were so satisfied they would find you guilty of the charge or charges for which they have made that determination. If all 12 jurors on the other hand felt that you were not guilty and were so convinced that the State had not proven you guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, then they would find you not guilty.

You have no burden to prove yourself not guilty.

You have a presumption of innocence which attends you
throughout. So basically the jury then has to be convinced as
I indicated to you by the State's evidence or the evidence
presented in the case, that you are guilty beyond a reasonable
doubt and each and every juror has to be so convinced.

If even one juror were not so convinced then the end result would be that you would not be found guilty, a hung jury would result if there could not be a unanimous verdict, the State would have the right to try you again. Do you understand how a jury trial works and do you understand that

by pleading guilty, you are waiving or giving up your right to a jury trial?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

MR. TAYBACK: Now you also have the right to plead not guilty but elect to waive your right to a jury trial and have instead what is called a bench trial or a court trial. In this case, His Honor would be the judge of the facts as well as the judge of the law which is the normal responsibility of a judge in a jury trial.

He then would listen to the evidence presented.

Again he would have the same responsibility that is to determine whether the State had proven you guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. If he decided that the State had so proven you guilty, he would find you guilty otherwise he would find you not guilty. Do you understand that by pleading guilty, you are waiving as well your right to a jury trial, you are waiving your right to a court trial also?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

MR. TAYBACK: Now because there will be no trial, you are waiving or giving up various important rights that you have. If you went to trial for example the people who are your accusers would come into court and testify under oath. You would have the right to confront them by way of cross examination through me. You would also have the right to confront through cross examination any other witnesses who the

State would present in the case as witnesses against you.

You would also have the right to confront any physical evidence that the State would present against you in this case. You as well would have the right to present a defense if you elected to do so and that means to call witnesses in your own behalf and if they would not come to court voluntarily to use the compulsory process of this Court to force them to come to court and testify for you in this case.

You would also have the right to testify in your own defense but you would also have the right to remain silent and have no inference or suggestion of guilt taken by the finder of fact whether it be the judge or jury because you have a Constitutional right under the Maryland Declaration of Rights and under the U.S. Constitution to maintain your silence as a Defendant in a criminal case.

Again, the jury would be instructed if you through me requested that of the judge, the jury would specifically be instructed that they may not consider your silence if you elected not to testify as having any bearing at all in the case that would have no evidentiary value and indeed they would not even be allowed to discuss the fact that you had elected to remain silent in their deliberations.

On the other hand, you would have the right to testify if you went to trial and explain your side of the

2.0

events that have brought you into court today. All of those trial rights again are given up. Now in this particular case, I also filed various pre-trial motions. Those pre-trial motions which have not already been heard or not already been decided are withdrawn at this time as being moot or without legal value because of your guilty plea.

Do you understand all of the pre-trial and trial rights that you give up by pleading guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

MR. TAYBACK: Now you would also have if you went to trial and lost, an absolute right to appeal to the next higher court which is the Court of Special Appeals of Maryland. That court would then review any issues that were properly reserved at trial and presented to it in a way of appellant brief or appellant argument. A guilty plea however severely limits your right to appeal.

You only have a right to petition to that court for leave to appeal. Which means almost certainly that court would not accept such an appeal. If it did do so, it would be on very limited grounds and that would the authority of this Court over you and over where the crime occurred. As long as you were at least 18 years of age without further judicial proceedings in court, you are automatically an adult at the time of the commission of these events which occurred in the late 1980s through early 1990s based on the age that you have

2.0

already given to the Court as being your present date of birth of June 3, 1947. Is that your current date of birth?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

MR. TAYBACK: As long as the State's Attorney reads into the record that these crimes did occur within the jurisdiction or the confines of Carroll County, then this Court has a general jurisdiction automatically has authority over the venue. That is where the place of the crimes occurred.

So obviously if those issues are properly presented to the Court in the statement of facts, you would not have any success in appealing a guilty plea to the next higher court, the Court of Special Appeals. The second possible ground for appellate review would be if this Court gave to you either a illegal sentence or something that would be classified as cruel or unusual punishment.

Now you already know that the maximum penalties and I will go over those further with you, are up to life imprisonment as to first degree sexual offense and up to 20 years on second degree sexual offense. So any sentences that would be within those legal boundaries are legal or lawful sentences. Thirdly a potential ground for appellant review would be what is called effective assistance of counsel.

You have the right at all critical stages to the effective assistance of an attorney and if you cannot afford

one, to have one appointed for you and in the state of
Maryland that is done through the Public Defender's Office.

Lastly you would have a potential right to appeal if you did
not understand what you were doing in court or if in some way
you were forced into pleading guilty against your will. That
is of course why I am asking all of these questions of you.

At this time, other than the discussions -- other than the discussions that I have had on your behalf with the State and the Court, has anybody promised you anything to cause you to plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

MR. TAYBACK: Has anybody in any way forced you to plead guilty against your will?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

MR. TAYBACK: Now as I indicated to you, you have the right to plead guilty because you are in fact guilty or you also have the right to plead guilty even though you maintain your innocence and you say that I did not commit the offenses as they are charged but that I believe that the State can prove a case against me and that I could well be found guilty of these charges and I do not want to take a chance on a worse punishment if I were to go to court and trial and lose.

Now you have indicated to me that is the reason why you are pleading guilty is that correct? You are not saying

lnc that you are guilty -- you are saying you are not guilty but 1 2 you do not wish to take a chance on a worse punishment from the judge than that which we have already discussed. 3 THE DEFENDANT: That is true. Yes. 4 MR. TAYBACK: Now at the time of the commission of 5 these particular events, were you on parole or probation? 6 7 THE DEFENDANT: No. MR. TAYBACK: With respect of the questions that I 8 asked you, do you have any questions at this time of me? 9 THE DEFENDANT: No. 10 MR. TAYBACK: Now let me advise you as to the nature 11 of the offenses to which you are pleading guilty. As to Count 12 2 of K-94-20276, that is sodomy charge involving Steven. 13 Count 3 is a fellatio charge involving Robert. Count 5 is a 14 15 fellatio charge involving Lewis and Count 1 of K-94-20703 is a fellatio charge involving Shawn. Now, the only difference 16 17 basically involved in the fellatio charge involving Shawn is 18 age -- is that correct? MS. ADAMS: Court's indulgence. 19 20 MR. TAYBACK: Okay, all right. Let me just have 21 that --22 MS. ADAMS: Your Honor, I am providing counsel copy 23 of --24 MR. TAYBACK: Thank you. I just want to make sure

for qualifications because the charges are very, very similar

in nature is exactly correct. Now, as to the ones involved in 20276, the difference in those is that there is an allegation that those particular forcible sexual activities take place aided and abetted by Marshall Monquie Kirkpatrick who is the co-defendant in the case and that allegation is missing from the charge in K-94-20703.

In other words, the State is not alleging that with respect to Shawn and any sexual activity with respect to him and Marshall was involved in aiding and abetting you in that regard. First degree sexual offense means that you engaged in a sexual act as defined either sodomy or fellatio with these various individuals, Steven, Robert, Lewis or in the case of case of sexual degree, the fellatio with Shawn by force and without the consent of the particular individuals. And that force can also be a threat of force and against the will of the individual.

The maximum penalty for first degree sexual offense is life and the maximum penalty for second degree sexual offense is 20 years. Do you understand the nature of the offenses to which you are pleading guilty and do you understand everything that I have explained to you to this point?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

MR. TAYBACK: I am satisfied, Your Honor he is qualified to plead guilty to these charges and that his guilty

plea is voluntary and with knowledge.

THE COURT: And the Court is likewise satisfied the pleas are guilty. The Court finds that Samuel Lee Glover understands the nature of his pleas. I will hear a statement to support the --

MS. ADAMS: Thank you, Your Honor. And Your Honor for the record, I have asked the clerk to mark as State's Exhibit 1 a copy for the Court of the statement of facts in support of the guilty plea. Does the Court have that, Your Honor?

THE COURT: I do.

MS. ADAMS: Thank you, Your Honor.

(The document referred to was marked for identification as State's Exhibit 1.)

MS. ADAMS: Your Honor, may I please the Court.

State's evidence would show that through the late 1970s and

1980s and early 1990s, Samuel Lee Glover, the Defendant before
the Court lived at 1961 Old Westminster Pike in Finksburg,

Carroll County, Maryland in a house on his mother's property
and adjacent to her house.

Mr. Glover's date of birth is June 3rd, 1947. On May 23rd, 1983, Marshall Monquie Kirkpatrick moved in with Mr. Glover and became his lover. Mr. Kirkpatrick's date of birth is July 2nd, 1964. Before Mr. Kirkpatrick came to live with

2.4

Mr. Glover, Mr. Glover had taken in a foster son now known as Steven Alan Glover.

Steven's date of birth is April 18th, 1971. Steven was placed in foster care with Glover by the Carroll County Department of Social Services on February 23rd, 1979 and he was adopted by Mr. Glover on June 15th, 1983. After Steven's adoption, Mr. Glover took in other foster children. On April 26th, 1984, the Carroll County Department of Social Services placed Shawn Christopher Campbell now known as Shawn Christopher Glover and Lewis Edward Campbell in foster care with

Mr. Glover.

Approximately five and a half months later, Shawn and Lewis' brother Robert Lee Campbell now known as Robert Lee Glover was placed in foster care with Mr. Glover. Robert arrived on October 5th, 1984. Robert's date of birth is March 19th, 1973. Shawn's date of birth is June 26th, 1974 and Lewis' date of birth is August 4th, 1975. On September 5th, 1985 Samuel Glover adopted Robert, Shawn and Lewis.

Evidence will show, Your Honor, that after they were adopted Mr. Glover continued to receive a subsidy for each of the boys from social services. When Steven left Mr. Glover's house on September 10th, 1989, Glover was receiving a subsidy for Steven of \$497 per month. When Robert and Lewis left Mr. Glover's house on November 30th, 1988, Mr. Glover was

2.3

receiving subsidies of \$501 per month, per boy.

of the young men would testify that Marshall Kirkpatrick lived continuously with the Defendant, Samuel Lee Glover at the home on Old Westminster Pike and that Glover and Kirkpatrick maintained a homosexual relationship. They would testify that Glover and Kirkpatrick shared a bedroom where they kept statues of male genitalia, one being a statute of an erect penis with children climbing on it.

They would testify that Glover and Kirkpatrick kept and watched homosexually explicit videos. Steven Glover would testify that when he was approximately 7 or 8 years old, Mr. Glover began having Steven perform fellatio on him. When Steven was 9 years old, Mr. Glover began performing fellatio on Steven. When Steven was approximately 12 years old, Mr. Glover began sodomizing Steven and had sodomized Steven at least one time before Mr. Kirkpatrick came to live with him.

Steven would testify that on several occasions, he observed Mr. Kirkpatrick and Mr. Glover engaged in sexual acts with each other. Steven would testify that soon after Mr. Kirkpatrick arrived, he was forced to engage in sexual activity with Glover and Kirkpatrick at the same time. Steven would testify that on several occasions, Kirkpatrick tied Glover to the bed and then engaged in sexual activity. Steven would testify that he himself was never tied.

Steven would testify that on one of the first occasions when he was 13 and 14 years old, he was taking a shower when Kirkpatrick got in the shower with him and shaved his pubic area. This was done at Glover's direction the testimony would indicate. Steven would testify that afterwards he was then placed on his back on a bench in the bathroom and that Glover sodomized him while Kirkpatrick stood behind him at his head and held his legs up.

Steven was crying and trying to get away because it hurt and Kirkpatrick placed his penis in Steven's mouth and held him down. While he was sodomizing Steven, Glover was saying you are just making it harder on yourself. Steven would testify that between the ages of 13 or 14 and 17, he was sodomized at least six times by Glover and Kirkpatrick at the same time.

These incidents occurred at least two times in the bathroom, at least two times in Glover and Kirkpatrick's bedroom and two times in the living room. Steven would testify that on each of these occasions, either Glover or Kirkpatrick sodomized him while the other held him down. Sometimes holding his legs up. Steven would testify that each time that he was sodomized he was crying and struggling because it hurt. Each time as one was sodomizing him the other would place his penis in Steven's mouth to quiet him and to hold him down.

Steven would testify that on at least one occasion, Mr. Kirkpatrick covered his mouth with his hand to quiet him while Glover sodomized him. Frequently before an act of sodomy, Kirkpatrick would come to get Steven saying his father wanted him. Steven would testify that on one occasion he was sent to the storage room on the second floor of the house to look for something.

Soon thereafter Robert came in and thereafter Glover and Kirkpatrick came in. Glover then forced the two boys to engage in fellatio with himself and Kirkpatrick. Steven would testify that this was particularly difficult for him because Glover knew that he and Robert did not like each other. Steven would testify that while he lived with Glover, he was punished very harshly by being beaten with a belt or a piece of wood on bare skin.

That he was forced to write thousands of sentences and engage in military style punishments such as doing push ups and being forced to squats with his arms outstretched for long periods of time. Steven would testify that these punishments were frequently imposed for "silly reasons" such as not doing chores fast enough or properly. Steven would testify that he was afraid not to engage in the sexual acts because of what might happen to him.

Steven left Glover's house on September 10th, 1989 when he was 18 years old. Steven would testify that he was

kicked out by Mr. Glover because he had taken Kirkpatrick's leather jacket and had left it outside in the rain and it was ruined. Steven would testify that the boys never discussed the sexual activity among themselves and he did not disclose until after he had left when he disclosed to the Maryland State Police after they received a tip.

Robert would testify that while he lived with Glover, he was also subjected to the same types of punishment as Steven. He was frequently beaten with a belt or a piece of wood on bare skin. He was made to do push ups and squat with his arms outstretched and he was made to write thousands of sentences.

Robert would testify that on at least once occasion he was punished by being forced to walk around the house naked. On this occasion, Mr. Glover touched and played with his penis. Robert would testify that on occasions while he was bathing, Mr. Glover would urinate on him. Robert would testify that on one occasion while he was being punished in his room, he was called into Glover and Kirkpatrick's bedroom.

Glover and Kirkpatrick were naked on the bed and Glover pulled him over and forced him to perform fellatio on him. While he was performing fellatio on Glover, Kirkpatrick masturbated Robert. Robert would testify that he was afraid not to do what he was told because he would be beaten or otherwise punished.

1.2

2.0

Robert would testify that when he was approximately 14 years old, Glover was taking a shower and Kirkpatrick came and got him and said that his father wanted him to take a shower with him. Robert and Kirkpatrick got in the shower with Glover. Glover washed Robert while Kirkpatrick performed fellatio on Robert.

Glover then turned Robert around and pushed Robert's head down towards his penis and forced Robert to perform fellatio on Glover while Kirkpatrick watched. Robert would testify that on another occasion when he was 14 or 15 years old, he woke up in his bedroom with Kirkpatrick performing fellatio on him. Kirkpatrick then took him to his and Glover's bedroom. Steven was in the bedroom naked.

Glover first performed fellatio on Robert.

Kirkpatrick then tied Glover to the bed and sodomized Glover.

Then Kirkpatrick told Robert to sodomize Glover which he did while Kirkpatrick and Steven watched. Thereafter Kirkpatrick told Steven to sodomize Glover while he and Robert watched.

Then Kirkpatrick sodomized Robert. Robert was not tied. But he said that it hurt and he was eventually able to pull away from Kirkpatrick.

Lewis would testify that while he lived with Mr.

Glover, he was also subjected to the same types of punishment as Steven and Robert, being frequently beaten with a belt or a piece of wood on bare skin, being made to do push ups and

2.2

squats and to write sentences.

Lewis would testify that on one occasion while he was being forced to do push ups, Glover kicked him in his head which caused Lewis to break his front tooth as his face hit the ground. Lewis would testify that when he was approximately 12 years old, he went into Glover's bedroom in the morning to say that he was leaving for school. On this occasion Kirkpatrick was not in the house.

Glover called Lewis over to the bed and told him to lay down. Glover then pulled down Lewis' pants and performed fellatio on him. Lewis struggled and told Glover not to do it but Glover smacked Lewis in the face and told him to shut up. Lewis would testify that thereafter, he was frequently forced by Glover to perform fellatio on Glover and or Kirkpatrick while the two were together. Usually in their bedroom.

On several occasions, Glover and Kirkpatrick would together perform fellatio on Lewis, taking turns. Lewis would testify that on most of these occasions, he was alone with Glover and Kirkpatrick. Shawn would testify that he was also subjected to the same punishments as the other boys. He was frequently beaten. After a while however, he was not treated as severely as the other boys — he believed because he was being treated for cancer and was often sick from the disease and from the treatments.

During the time he was being treated for cancer

1.3

however, he was also forced to engage in sexual activity with Glover and Kirkpatrick. Shawn would testify that around the time he reached puberty at approximately 13 years of age, Glover began to call him into his and Kirkpatrick's bedroom. He was made to lie down on the bed and either Glover or Kirkpatrick would perform fellatio on him.

On the first few occasions, Shawn would struggle and try to get away. Glover would slap him in the face however. On one occasion, Glover held Shawn's head in place while he forced Shawn to perform fellatio on Kirkpatrick. Shawn would testify that after a while, while he was being forced to engage in fellatio, he stopped struggling because he was afraid he would be slapped.

On these occasions either Glover or Kirkpatrick would try to comfort Shawn while the other was performing fellatio on Shawn. Shawn would testify that eventually he was most often made by Glover to perform fellatio on Kirkpatrick while Glover watched. Shawn would testify that the sexual activity occurred from the time he was approximately 13 years old until he moved from Carroll County to Missouri in 1992 with Glover and Kirkpatrick.

At that time, he was approximately 18 years old. Your Honor, I had inadvertently left over the date 1992 from that paragraph. If the Court would fill that in. Both Robert and Lewis would testify that they ran away together on at

least two occasions in 1988.

THE COURT: 1992?

MS. ADAMS: 1992 Your Honor, in the paragraph before. That was the year that Shawn moved to Missouri from Carroll County.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. ADAMS: Thank you, Your Honor. Both Robert and Lewis would testify that they ran away together on at least two occasions in 1988. Each time they came back and each time they were beaten by Glover. In November of 1988, Robert and Lewis ran away and came back. They were taken to Glover and Kirkpatrick's bedroom where they were forced to take off all of their clothes off and were beaten all over by Glover with a paddle while Kirkpatrick watched.

Thereafter when the beatings were done, Robert was forced to perform fellatio on Kirkpatrick and Glover forced Lewis to perform fellatio on him. Lewis pulled away and Robert watched as Glover punched Lewis in his face and forced his head to his penis. Soon thereafter, on or about November 30th, 1988, Robert and Lewis ran away again. Stayed at a friend's house and were eventually placed in the Guy Shelter Home on December 19th, 1988. Robert was 15 years old, Lewis was 13 years old.

Each of the victims in this case would testify that prior to the time they were adopted, a social worker from the

Department of Social Services would visit Glover's home approximately two times per month. They would testify, however that Glover had a friend from the Department of Social Services who was not the social worker who would make the visits but who would call and inform Glover prior to the visits that the social worker was coming. During these visits, Glover told the victims that if they told of the sexual activity, they would be placed in a group home and have no family.

During these visits Glover would also send

Kirkpatrick away from the house to stay with friends. Each of
the victims in this case would testify that because they were
afraid, they never disclosed the abuse until after they had
left Glover's home. Glover and Kirkpatrick were charged by
Indictments in the case involving Steven, Robert and Lewis on
January 27th, 1994. Your Honor, for the record, that is K-9420276.

A no bond bench warrant was issued in the second case involving Shawn and for the record, with regard to the charges against Mr. Glover, that is K-94-20703. The Defendants were on pre-trial supervision with the Division of Parole and Probation and absconded from that supervision. On June 2nd, 1994, the Court revoked bond in the first case and again that is K-94-20776 excuse me, that is 202076. I would ask the Court to -- I did not pick that up, to make that

correction in that number. Thank you, Your Honor.

Maryland State Police were unable to locate the Defendants for two and a half years, Your Honor. The Maryland State Police enlisted the services of the Federal Bureau of Investigations and during an investigation to locate Glover and Kirkpatrick, a program was broadcasted on a show Unsolved Mysteries.

In January of 1997, Special Agent Micky Nosara of the Federal Bureau of Investigations located Glover and Kirkpatrick in Knoxville, Tennessee. Special Agent Nosara took Glover and Kirkpatrick into custody under federal warrants issued for flight to avoid lawful prosecution. Thereafter Glover and Kirkpatrick were turned over to Maryland State Troopers Michael Vaughack and Wayne Moffit of the Maryland State Police, who returned Glover and Kirkpatrick to Carroll County, Maryland on January 21st, 1997.

Your Honor, all of these events described above occurred in Carroll County, Maryland. Further Your Honor, Steven Glover and Robert Glover, Lewis Campbell and Shawn Glover would identify the Defendant before the Court, and next to counsel, Samuel Lee Glover as the perpetrator of the sexual offenses committed against each of them and described above.

That would be the State's evidence.

MR. TAYBACK: All right, stand up, Mr. Glover. Now, Mr. Glover, as I indicated to you previously when we discussed

the statement of facts, it is my understanding that you will agree that the State's evidence would be presented in that fashion through the witnesses if the State were to proceed to trial and therefore, you are accepting that as a statement of facts in the support of a guilty plea but you are not agreeing to the statement of facts as being true otherwise is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

MR. TAYBACK: Okay. But you do agree that that is the evidence which would be presented in this case by the State if the State proceeded to trial, is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

MR. TAYBACK: I am satisfied that the statement of facts does support my client's guilty pleas. I would ask that the Court would enter guilty findings at this time to the four counts that have been called.

THE COURT: All right, sir in case 20276, the Court finds the statement does support the guilty pleas so accordingly the Court finds the Defendant Samuel Lee Glover guilty beyond a reasonable doubt of Count 2 of Article 27 Section 464 first degree sex offense, also guilty beyond a reasonable doubt of Count 3 charging Article 27 Section 464 first degree sex offense. Also guilty beyond a reasonable doubt of Count 5 Article 27 Section 464 first degree sex offense against Steven Alan Glover, Robert Lee Glover, Lewis

Edward Campbell respectively. 2 In case 20276, or excuse me, 20703 the Court finds that the statements support the guilty plea in that case in 3 that case. And accordingly the Court finds the Defendant 4 Samuel Lee Glover guilty beyond a reasonable doubt of Article 5 27 Section 464(a) second degree sex offense. 6 7 MR. TAYBACK: Thank you, Your Honor. discussed with the State, I am asking on behalf of Mr. Glover 8 that his sentencing would be deferred and I would ask actually 9 for convenience purposes that it would be scheduled to a date 10 consistent with that of the co-defendant. I believe that is 11 December 9th in this court. I certainly would ask for that 12 date as well. It would make sense. 13 14 THE COURT: Do you have that date of the Kirkpatrick 15 sentencing? 16 MS. ADAMS: Your Honor, I don't have it with me. did not bring that file but I think that is correct. 17 18 MR. TAYBACK: I know it is a date right around that 19 date. 20 THE COURT: The clerk is going to call and verify. 21 (Pause.) 22 THE COURT: Ms. Adams, I will place this State's Exhibit 1 in 20276. You may want to --23 24 MS. ADAMS: I did bring an extra copy. 25 THE COURT: All right.

| 1 | (Pause.) |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | THE CLERK: December 9th at 9:30. |
| 3 | THE COURT: All right. Then disposition in |
| 4 | case, Mr. Glover will be deferred until December 9th a |
| 5 | MS. ADAMS: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 6 | MR. TAYBACK: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 7 | MS. ADAMS: Your Honor, for the record, if I could |
| 8 | ask the clerk Madam Clerk to mark a second statement of |
| 9 | facts |
| 10 | THE COURT: That will go in 703. |
| 11 | MS. ADAMS: Will go in 703. Correct? |
| 12 | THE COURT: Yes. |
| 13 | MS. ADAMS: Thank you. |
| 14 | |
| 15 | (The document referred to was |
| 16 | marked for identification as |
| 17 | MS. ADAMS: Thank you for the G |
| 18 | MS. ADAMS: Thank you for the Court's consideration in scheduling this. |
| 19 | |
| 20 | (Whereupon, at 4:25 p.m., the hearing concluded.) |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 11 | |

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I hereby certify that the proceedings in the matter of State of Maryland versus Samuel Lee Glover, Criminal case numbers 06-K-94-020276 and 06-K-94-020703 heard in the Circuit Court for Carroll County, Maryland on October 2nd, 1997, were recorded by means of digital recording.

I further certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, page numbers 1 through 28 constitute a complete and accurate transcript of the proceedings as transcribed by me.

I further certify that I am neither a relative nor an employee of any attorney or party herein, and that I have no interest in the outcome of this case.

In witness whereof, I have affixed my signature on this 12th day of October, 2023.

By:

Lisa N. Contreras

Lisa N. Contreras
Certified Transcriber, CompuScribe
Certificate No. CET**D-1251

K-94-20276/20703

STATEMENT OF FACTS IN SUPPORT OF GUILTY PLEA

State's evidence would show that through the late 1970's, 1980's and early 1990's, Samuel Lee Glover, the Defendant before the Court, lived at 1961 Old Westminster Pike in Finksburg, Carroll County, Maryland in a house on his mother's property and adjacent to her house. Mr. Glover's date of birth is June 3, 1947. On May 23, 1983, Marshall Monquie Kirkpatrick moved in with Mr. Glover and became his lover. Mr. Kirkpatrick's date of birth is July 2, 1964.

Before Mr. Kirkpatrick came to live with Mr. Glover, Mr. Glover had taken in a foster son, now known as Steven Alan Glover. Steven's date of birth is April 18, 1971. Steven was placed in foster care with Glover by the Carroll County Department of Social Services on February 23, 1979 and was adopted by Glover on June 15, 1983.

After Steven's adoption, Mr. Glover took in other foster children. On April 26, 1984 the Carroll County Department of Social Services placed Shawne Christopher Campbell, now known as Shawne Christopher Glover, and Louis Edward Campbell in foster care with Glover. Approximately five and one half (5 1/2) months later, Shawne and Louis' brother, Robert Lee Campbell, now known as Robert Lee Glover, was placed in foster care with Glover. Robert arrived on October 5, 1984. Robert's date of birth is



March 19, 1973; Shawne's date of birth is June 26, 1974; and Louis' date of birth is August 4, 1975. On September 5, 1985 Samuel Glover adopted Robert, Shawne and Louis.

Evidence would show that, after they were adopted, Mr. Glover continued to receive a subsidy for each of the boys from Social Services. When Steven left Glover's house on September 10, 1989, Glover was receiving a subsidy for Steven of \$497 per month. When Robert and Louis left Glover's house on November 30, 1988, Glover was receiving subsidies of \$501 per month, per boy.

If called to testify in this case, each of the young men would testify that Marshall Kirkpatrick lived continuously with the Defendant, Samuel Lee Glover, at the home on Old Westminster Pike and that Glover and Kirkpatrick maintained a homosexual relationship. They would testify that Glover and Kirkpatrick shared a bedroom where they kept statues of male genitalia, one being a statue of an erect penis with children climbing on it. They would testify that Glover and Kirkpatrick kept and watched homosexually explicit videos.

Steven Glover would testify that when he was approximately 7 - 8 years old, Mr. Glover began having Steven perform fellatio on him. When Steven was 9 years old, Mr. Glover began performing fellatio on Steven. When Steven was approximately 12 years old, Mr. Glover began sodomizing Steven, and had sodomized Steven at least one time before Kirkpatrick came to live with him. Steven would testify that on several occasions he observed Kirkpatrick and Glover engaged in sexual acts with each other.

Steven would testify that soon after Kirkpatrick arrived, he was forced to engage in sexual activity with Glover and Kirkpatrick at the same time. Steven would testify that on several occasions, Kirkpatrick tied Glover to the bed and then engaged in sexual activity. Steven would testify that he, himself, was never tied.

Steven would testify that on one of the first occasions when he was 13 - 14 years old, he was taking a shower when Kirkpatrick got in the shower with him and shaved his pubic area. This was done at Glover's direction. Steven would testify that afterwards, he was then placed on his back on a bench in the bathroom, and that Glover sodomized him while Kirkpatrick stood behind him at his head and held his legs up. Steven was crying and trying to get away because it hurt and Kirkpatrick placed his penis in Steven's mouth and held him down. While he was sodomizing Steven, Glover was saying "you are just making it harder on yourself."

Steven would testify that between the ages of 13 or 14 and 17, he was sodomized at least six times by Glover and Kirkpatrick at the same time. These incidents occurred at least 2 times in the bathroom, 2 times in Glover and Kirkpatrick's bedroom, and 2 times in the living room. Steven would testify that on each of these occasions, either Glover or Kirkpatrick sodomized him while the other held him down, sometimes holding his legs up. Steven would testify that each time he was sodomized, he was crying and struggling because it hurt. Each time, as one was sodomizing

him, the other would place his penis in Steven's mouth to quiet him and hold him down. Steven would testify that, on at least one occasion, Kirkpatrick covered his mouth with his hand to quiet him while Glover sodomized him. Frequently, before an act of sodomy, Kirkpatrick would come get Steven saying his father wanted him.

Steven would testify that on one occasion he was sent to the storage room on the second floor of the house to look for something. Soon thereafter, Robert came in, and thereafter Glover and Kirkpatrick came in. Glover then forced the two boys to engage in fellatio with himself and Kirkpatrick. Steven would testify that this was particularly difficult for him because Glover knew that he and Robert did not like each other.

Steven would testify that while he lived with Glover, he was punished very harshly by being beaten with a belt or a piece of wood on bare skin. He was forced to write thousands of sentences, and to engage in military style punishments of doing pushups and being forced to squat with his arms outstretched for long periods of time. Steven would testify that these punishments were frequently imposed for "silly" reasons such as not doing chores fast enough or properly. Steven would testify that he was afraid not to engage in the sexual acts because of what might happen to him.

Steven left Glover's house on September 10, 1989 when he was 18 years old. Steven would testify that he was kicked out by Glover because he had taken Kirkpatrick's leather jacket and had

left it outside in the rain and it was ruined. Steven would testify that the boys never discussed the sexual activity among themselves and that he did not disclose until after he had left when he disclosed to the Maryland State Police after they had received a "tip".

Robert would testify that while he lived with Glover, he was also subjected to the same types of punishments as Steven. He was frequently beaten with a belt or a piece of wood on bare skin. He was made to do pushups and squat with his arms outstretched, and was made to write thousands of sentences. Robert would testify that on at least one occasion he was punished by being forced to walk around the house naked. On this occasion, Glover touched and played with his penis. Robert would testify that on occasions, while he was bathing, Glover urinated on him.

Robert would testify that on one occasion while he was being punished in his room, he was called into Glover and Kirkpatrick's bedroom. Glover and Kirkpatrick were naked on the bed and Glover pulled him over and forced him to perform fellatio on him. While he was performing fellatio on Glover, Kirkpatrick masturbated Robert. Robert would testify that he was afraid not to do what he was told because he would be beaten or otherwise punished.

Robert would testify that when he was approximately 14 years old, Glover was taking a shower and Kirkpatrick came and got him and said that his father wanted him to take a shower with him.

Robert and Kirkpatrick got in the shower with Glover. Glover

washed Robert while Kirkpatrick performed fellatio on Robert.

Glover then turned Robert around and pushed Robert's head down towards his penis and forced Robert to perform fellatio on Glover while Kirkpatrick watched.

Robert would testify that on another occasion when he was 14 or 15 years old, he woke up in his bedroom with Kirkpatrick performing fellatio on him. Kirkpatrick then took him to his and Glover's bedroom. Steven was in the bedroom naked. Glover first performed fellatio on Robert. Kirkpatrick then tied Glover to the bed and sodomized Glover. Then Kirkpatrick told Robert to sodomize Glover which he did while Kirkpatrick and Steven watched. Thereafter, Kirkpatrick told Steven to sodomize Glover while he and Robert watched. Then Kirkpatrick sodomized Robert. Robert was not tied, but he said that it hurt and was eventually able to pull away from Kirkpatrick.

Louis would testify that while he lived with Glover, he was also subjected to the same types of punishments as Steven and Robert, being frequently beaten with a belt or a piece of wood on bare skin, and being made to do pushups and squats and to write thousands of sentences. Louis would testify that on one occasion while he was being forced to do pushups, Glover kicked him in his head which caused Louis to break his front took as his face hit the ground.

Louis would testify that when he was approximately 12 years old he went into Glover's bedroom in the morning to say he was leaving for school. On this occasion, Kirkpatrick was not in the

house. Glover called Louis over to the bed and told him to lie down. Glover then pulled down Louis' pants and performed fellatio on him. Louis struggled and told Glover not to do it, but Glover smacked Louis in the face and told him to "shut up." Louis would testify that, thereafter, he was frequently forced by Glover to perform fellatio on Glover and/or Kirkpatrick while the two were together, usually in their bedroom. On several occasions, Glover and Kirkpatrick would together preform fellatio on Louis, taking turns. Louis would testify that on most of these occasions he was alone with Glover and Kirkpatrick.

Shawne would testify that he also was subjected to the same punishments as the other boys. He was frequently beaten. After awhile, was not treated as severely as the other boys because he was being treated for cancer and was often sick from the disease and the treatments. During the time he was being treated for cancer, however, he also was forced to engage in sexual activity with Glover and Kirkpatrick.

Shawne would testify that around the time he reached puberty, at approximately 13 years old, Glover began to call him into his and Kirkpatrick's bedroom. He was made to lie down on the bed and either Glover or Kirkpatrick would performed fellatio on him. On the first few occasions Shawne would struggle and try to get away. Glover would slap him in the face, however. On one occasion, Glover held Shawne's head in place while he forced Shawne to perform fellatio on Kirkpatrick. Shawne would testify that, after awhile, while he was being forced to engage in

fellatio, he stopped struggling because he was afraid he would be slapped. On these occasions, either Glover or Kirkpatrick would try to comfort Shawne while the other was performing fellatio on Shawne. Shawne would testify that eventually he was most often made by Glover to perform fellatio on Kirkpatrick while Glover watched. Shawne would testify that the sexual activity occurred from the time he was approximately 13 years old until he moved from Carroll County to Missouri with Glover and Kirkpatrick when he was approximately 18 years old Missouri with Glover and Kirkpatrick when

Both Robert and Lewis would testify that they ran away together on at least 2 occasions in 1988. Each time they came back, and each time they were beaten by Glover. In November of 1988, Robert and Louis ran away and came back. They were taken to Glover and Kirkpatrick's bedroom where they were forced to take all their clothes off and were beaten all over by Glover with a paddle while Kirkpatrick watched. Thereafter, when the beatings were done, Robert was forced to perform fellatio on Kirkpatrick. Glover forced Louis to perform fellatio on him. Louis pulled away and Robert watched as Glover punched Louis in the face and forced his head to his penis. Soon thereafter, on or about November 30, 1988, Robert and Louis ran away again, stayed at a friend's house, and were eventually placed in the Guide Shelter Home on December 19, 1988. Robert was 15 years old and Louis was 13 years old.

Each of the victims in this case would testify that prior to the time they were adopted, a social worker from the Department

of Social Services would visit Glover's home approximately 2 times per month. They would testify, however, that Glover had a friend from the Department of Social Services (not the social worker who would visit) who would call and inform Glover prior to the visits that the social worker was coming. During these visits Glover told the victims that if they told of the sexual activity they would be placed in a group home and have no family. During these visits, Glover would also send Kirkpatrick away from the house to stay with friends. Each of the victims in this case would testify that because they were afraid, they never disclosed the abuse until after they had left Glover's home.

Glover and Kirkpatrick were charged by indictments in the case involving Steven, Robert, and Louis on January 27, 1994. Thereafter, they were charged by indictment in the case involving Shawne on May 4, 1994. A no bond bench warrant was issued in the second case (involving Shawne, K-94-20703). The Defendants were on pre-trial supervision with the Division of Parole and Probation and absconded from that supervision. On June 2, 1994, the Court revoked bond in the first case (involving Steven, Robert and Louis, K-94-20776).

Maryland State Police were unable to locate the Defendants for 2 ½ years. The Maryland State Police enlisted the services of the Federal Bureau of Investigations. During an investigation to locate Glover and Kirkpatrick, a program was broadcasted on the show "Unsolved Mysteries", and in January of 1997 Special Agent Mickey Nocera of the F.B.I. located Glover and Kirkpatrick

in Knoxville, Tennessee. Special Agent Nocera took Glover and Kirkpatrick into custody under Federal warrants issued for flight to avoid prosecution. Thereafter, Glover and Kirkpatrick were turned over to Troopers Michael Vanek and Wayne Moffat of the Maryland State Police who returned Glover and Kirkpatrick to Carroll County, Maryland on January 21, 1997.

All of the events described above occurred in Carroll County, Maryland. Further, Steven Glover, Robert Glover, Louis Campbell and Shawne Glover, would identify the Defendant before the Court, Samuel Lee Glover, as the perpetrator of the sexual offenses committed against each of them and described above.