



## Maryland Association of Municipal Wastewater Agencies, Inc.

Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission

14501 Sweitzer Lane, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor

Laurel, MD 20707

Tel: 301-206-7008

### MEMBER AGENCIES

Allegany County  
Anne Arundel County  
City of Baltimore  
Baltimore County  
Town of Berlin  
Cecil County  
Town of Centreville  
Charles County  
City of Cumberland  
D.C. Water  
Easton Utilities  
Frederick County  
City of Hagerstown  
Harford County  
City of Havre de Grace  
Howard County  
Ocean City  
Pocomoke City  
Queen Anne's County  
City of Salisbury  
Somerset Co. Sanitary District  
St. Mary's Metro. Comm.  
Washington County  
Worcester County  
WSSC Water

February 27, 2026

The Honorable Brian J. Feldman  
Chair, Education, Energy, and the Environment Committee  
2 West Miller Senate Office Building  
Annapolis, MD 21401

**Re: OPPOSE -- SB 688 (Environment – Stream and Floodplain Restoration Projects – Requirements and Limitations)**

Dear Chair Feldman:

On behalf of the Maryland Association of Municipal Wastewater Agencies (MAMWA), I am writing to **OPPOSE** SB 688, which would add requirements to stormwater management plans involving in-stream construction or the mechanical alteration of a stream (p. 7, l. 1-11).

MAMWA is a statewide association of local governments and wastewater treatment agencies that serve approximately 95% of the State's sewer population. Many of MAMWA's members also operate a public water system. MAMWA Members often seek approval for drinking water and wastewater capital projects that involve in-stream construction.

SB 688 would add requirements to projects if stormwater management plan approval is required. For example, a MAMWA Member working in and around a stream would need to provide "a reasonable range of non-stream-disturbing stormwater management practices capable of achieving the project objectives;" (p. 7, l. 7-9). Not only is this pointless for a project that is needed to supply potable drinking water and that must occur in and around a stream, but it would result in delays in submitting plans, delays in plan approval, and higher project costs. MAMWA questions whether the financial burden borne by our wastewater and drinking water customers and your constituents would provide any environmental benefit.

For these reasons, MAMWA urges the Committee to vote **NO** on SB 688. Please feel free to contact me with any questions at [Lisa@AquaLaw.com](mailto:Lisa@AquaLaw.com) or 804-716-9021.

Sincerely,

Lisa M. Ochsenhirt  
MAMWA Deputy General Counsel

cc: Education, Energy, and the Environment Committee Members, SB 688 Sponsor

### CONSULTANT MEMBERS

Black & Veatch  
GHD, Inc.  
Hazen and Sawyer  
HDR Engineering, Inc.  
Jacobs  
Ramboll Americas  
WRA

### GENERAL COUNSEL

AquaLaw PLC