

STATE OF MARYLAND

EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT

WES MOORE

GOVERNOR

ARUNA MILLER

LT. GOVERNOR



OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE

STATE HOUSE

ANNAPOLIS, MARYLAND 21401

(410) 974-5521

TOLL FREE 888-874-0013

FAX (410) 974-5190

TDD: 800-735-2258

SUSAN C. LEE
SECRETARY OF STATE

Senate Bill 121 – Personal Information - Public Servant Protections

February 12, 2026

Senate Education, Energy, and the Environment Committee

Letter of Information (with concerns)

Senate Bill 121 is important legislation to consider because of the dangers state employees encounter with their private information being available online on public and private websites. This legislation aims to remove public information about sensitive private information from public sector sources. Our Address Confidentiality Program is very different from the one the Judiciary runs because their program aims to remove and scrub information that is online, while our program is more of a mail forwarding service with the ability to also shield deeds prospectively. This legislation is modeled after the Judiciary's program but also requires us to do some of the time consuming and labor-intensive work of mail forwarding and deed shielding as well.

The Judiciary decided to create their own program because they didn't want to have their judges be forced to move to qualify for our program.

The anticipated costs to administer this program can be calculated through multiplication of the cost to implement the judiciary's program, which only covers some 400 judges. The estimated scope of SB 121 would include some 20,000+ individuals, or 50 times the number of individuals covered by the judiciary. They payroll for the judiciary to complete their role is over \$300,000 a year.

Additionally, the cost of scrubbing the public sector pages doesn't include the important and necessary cost of also scrubbing information sold by data brokers from previously published information. If the participants are not moving, their addresses are online and would have to be scrubbed. The

Judiciary indicated that they currently pay around \$300 per covered individual for the DeleteMe service. But that rate will vary based on the particulars of the procurement you negotiate, i.e. the number of individuals and the level of service. Our team lacks the technical expertise to scrub information both in the public sector and the private data brokers, so we would need cooperation from DoIT, the counties, as well as a vendor like DeleteMe.

In conclusion, our program may be called the Address Confidentiality Program, but the duty imposed on use through this legislation would require a completely new function, and frankly, it may also create a false sense of security as well as a two-tiered system of protection. One for victims of crime and another for certain public sector employees.

We would welcome questions from any members of this committee.