



BILL NO: Senate Bill 548
TITLE: Family Law - Child Support Guidelines - Agreement Between Parents
COMMITTEE: Judicial Proceedings
HEARING DATE: February 18, 2026
POSITION: **OPPOSE**

The Maryland Network Against Domestic Violence (MNADV) is the state domestic violence coalition that brings together victim service providers, allied professionals, and concerned individuals for the common purpose of reducing intimate partner and family violence and its harmful effects on our citizens. **MNADV urges the Senate Judiciary Committee to issue an unfavorable report on SB 548.**

Although the language of the bill is terribly convoluted, Senate Bill 548 would create a presumption that if two parents or parties agreed to deviate from the child support guidelines, it would be *presumed* to be in the best interests of the child or children. This is a dangerous proposition and could be very damaging for custodial parents and children. The bill arises from a case decided by Maryland's Supreme Court, Houser v. Houser, In re Marriage of Houser, 490 Md. 592 (2025) where the parties agreed that mother, primary custodian, would waive virtually everything financially. The trial court refused the parents' agreement and ordered father, who earned more than mother, to pay child support according to the guidelines. The court found that the parties did not articulate a reason it was in the best interests of the child to deviate to no child support being paid at all.

We have several concerns:

Coercion and Unequal Bargaining Power: In situations involving domestic violence, one parent may exert undue influence or pressure on the other to agree to a child support arrangement that is not fair or adequate. The bill's presumption in favor of mutual agreements could inadvertently legitimize agreements reached through coercion, leaving the victimized parent with insufficient support.

Inability to Rebut the Presumption: Although typically presumptions can be rebutted if a court determines that the agreement is not in the child's best interest, under SB 548 *there would not be a party to present evidence to rebut the presumption*. This would create a de facto exception in these cases to judicial review of the child support agreed upon. The Court itself cannot present evidence. Therefore, this bill would give this type of agreement (mutual consent to deviate from the Guidelines) more power than any other type of arrangement.

For further information contact Laure Ruth • Public Policy Director • 301-852-3930 • lruth@mnadv.org

1997 Annapolis Exchange Parkway, Suite 300 • Annapolis, MD 567401
Tel: 301-429-3601 • E-mail: info@mnadv.org • Website: www.mnadv.org



Overlooking the Dynamics of Abuse: The bill does not account for the complexities of domestic violence situations, where the abusive partner may manipulate or control the victim's decisions. Without safeguards to protect victims, the legislation could perpetuate financial abuse and instability. Most often the victim is the economically inferior parent. She may be coerced into agreeing to terms that are not favorable to her or the child or children.

It will Increase Instances of Power and Control: Most importantly, the Network believes in and supports victim autonomy. However, our very strong fear is that if SB 548 passes, it will increase instances of power and control, threats, or other behaviors engaged in by abusers, or the economically superior parent even if there is no domestic violence, to force the other parent to give up what they are entitled to under our child support laws. In turn, this will harm the children.

SB 548 puts the wishes of the parent, or one parent, over the well-being of the child, and we suspect this may be part of a larger effort geared towards parents' rights. Maryland law should protect citizens from laws such as SB 548 and should make sure parents support their children economically when, and to the extent, they are able.

We understand from our colleagues at Maryland Legal Aid that California has a better version of this bill that allows for the ability to revert back to the guidelines without a showing of material change of circumstances.

For the above stated reasons, the **Maryland Network Against Domestic Violence strongly urges an unfavorable report on SB 548.**