

CHRIS WEST
Legislative District 42
Baltimore and Carroll Counties

Judicial Proceedings Committee



Annapolis Office
James Senate Office Building
11 Bladen Street, Room 322
Annapolis, Maryland 21401
410-841-3648
800-492-7122 Ext. 3648
Chris.West@senate.maryland.gov

THE SENATE OF MARYLAND
ANNAPOLIS, MARYLAND 21401

February 24, 2026

The Maryland State Senate Judicial Proceedings Committee
The Honorable William C. Smith, Jr.
2 East Miller Senate Office Building
Annapolis, Maryland 21401

RE: Senate Bill 680 – Intercepted Communications – Penalty

Chair Smith, Vice-Chair Waldstreicher, and Members of the Judicial Proceedings Committee,

I am presenting Senate Bill 680, which has passed the House four years in a row but has always failed to get a vote in the Senate. Senate Bill 680 is also that rare criminal bill that has the support of both the Maryland States Attorneys Association and the Office of Public Defender. Furthermore, this bill has no fiscal note.

Senate Bill 680 changes a single word in a single criminal statute. In Section 10-402 of the Courts and Judicial Proceedings Article, it changes the word “felony” to “misdemeanor”. The penalty for the crime is left unchanged. All that changes is the characterization of the crime from a felony to a misdemeanor.

The crime set out in the statute is the crime of intercepting a communication, whether oral, wire or electronic, without the consent of the other parties to the communication. Unlike in most other states, Maryland has a two-party consent rule. Both parties to a communication must consent to it being intercepted. So, this bill would merely change the characterization of that crime from a felony to a misdemeanor.

Let me give you two hypothetical instances which this bill would impact. First, two gang members are standing on a corner discussing a crime they are about to commit. Someone standing nearby waiting for a bus takes out his cellphone and makes a video recording of their conversation, including an audio recording of the words they use, and then turns the recording over to the police. Under current law, that bystander has committed a felony. Second, a battered wife sits her cellphone in a location that can capture video and audio images of her husband and captures footage of him screaming at her and beating her and then turns the footage over to the authorities. Once again, under current law, the battered wife is guilty of a felony.

Senate Bill 680 is a simple, but necessary, step to align Maryland law with the reality that cell phones and cell phone recordings are commonplace. The felony criminal liability imposed by

CHRIS WEST
Legislative District 42
Baltimore and Carroll Counties

—
Judicial Proceedings Committee



Annapolis Office
James Senate Office Building
11 Bladen Street, Room 322
Annapolis, Maryland 21401
410-841-3648
800-492-7122 Ext. 3648
Chris.West@senate.maryland.gov

THE SENATE OF MARYLAND
ANNAPOLIS, MARYLAND 21401

CJP § 10-402 is out-of-touch with the expectations and experiences of Marylanders. Reclassifying the violation as a misdemeanor brings the additional benefit of permitting these cases to be tried in the District Court of Maryland, a more efficient and appropriate venue for most of these matters. It thus reduces the strain on resources of the circuit courts.

Supporters of the bill over the years have included the Maryland States Attorneys Association, the States Attorneys of Baltimore County, Frederick County and Prince George's County, the Office of Public Defender, the Maryland State Bar Association, the Women's Law Center and the Maryland Network Against Domestic Violence. Last year, this bill passed in the House. This House bill then came over to the Senate, but was not given a hearing. I hope the Judicial Proceedings Committee will agree that the one word change to the statute proposed by this bill makes sense.

I appreciate the Committee's consideration of Senate Bill 680 and I will be happy to answer any questions the Committee may have.