

February 12, 2026
Michael English
Silver Spring, MD, 20910

TESTIMONY ON SB 462 - POSITION: FAVORABLE

Landlord and Tenant - Residential Leases and Holdover Tenancies - Local Good Cause Termination (Good Cause Eviction)

TO: Chair Smith, Vice Chair Waldstreicher, and members of the Judicial Proceedings Committee

FROM: Your Full Name

My name is Michael English. I am a resident of District 20. I am submitting this testimony in support of SB 462, Landlord and Tenant - Residential Leases and Holdover Tenancies - Local Good Cause Termination (Good Cause Eviction)

Thank you for agree to hear my testimony,

I am writing strongly in favor of a favorable report for this enabling legislation for good cause eviction, and I say this as someone who spends just as much, if not more time advocating for the production of more housing as I do pushing for renter protections.

While I'm speaking only in my personal capacity today, I'm involved with multiple organizations that push to make housing production easier, more predictable, and more affordable, and have written numerous pro market-rate housing, urbanist opinion pieces for various publications. I've also been called a developer skill, or something to that effect, enough times in enough meetings about proposed apartment complexes or zoning changes that I've quite literally lost count.

I bring all this up only to say that while there is such a thing as a renter protection that can go "too far" and do more harm than good in the long run by limiting the supply of housing, good cause isn't one of them. I, and most other "YIMBYs" and YIMBY organizations either don't spend much time worrying about the good cause, or actively support it, because it works well in tandem with housing supply to provide leverage and stability to renters.

This law would do nothing to prevent a landlord from not renewing a lease for a tenant that fails to pay, violates the lease, or meets other "good causes" for non renewal, and landlords would still be able to deny renewal if they, for example, wanted to take the home off the rental market altogether. It is not a "lease for life" as some allege.

All it means is this.

“If you are going to continue to rent the home out, the person currently renting it gets the first shot at renting it, unless you have a compelling reason not to offer it to them.”

That’s unambiguously good. Yes, housing is a market, and market principles apply, but it is also a, well, home, a place that is meant to be a relative constant in our lives that, while it may not last forever, does not change suddenly for no good reason.

That’s all we’re talking about here.

It will help prevent tenants being removed for retaliatory reasons, such as forming a tenants union or making consistent maintenance requests, and more generally help to give a little certainty that their safe harbor will stay their safe harbor.

I respectfully urge this committee to return a favorable report on SB 462.

Thank you

Michael English
8005 13th Street
Unit 304
Silver Spring, MD
20910