

Testimony in Support of HB197, The Comprehensive Community Safety Funding Act

Presented by Lydia C. Watts, Esq., MPH, Executive Director, the Rebuild, Overcome, and Rise (ROAR) Center at University of Maryland, Baltimore
To the House Ways and Means Committee

March 5, 2026

My name is Lydia Watts. I am the Executive Director of the Rebuild, Overcome, and Rise (ROAR) Center at the University of Maryland, Baltimore. I am submitting this written testimony **in support of HB197, The Comprehensive Community Safety Funding Act**. The views expressed herein are mine as an expert in the field and are not the views of the University of Maryland, Baltimore. ROAR provides wrap-around services to victims of crime in Baltimore City. Since June 2019 when ROAR started providing services, we have assisted over 900 people, all of whom are survivors of crime ranging from homicides, non-fatal shootings, rapes, assaults, intimate partner violence, and more.

The last three years, we have seen significant reductions of homicides (the majority of which are by guns) and non-fatal shootings since the record highs across the US in 2020. According to the MD Firearm Violence Data Dashboard¹,

Homicides in Baltimore City				
Year	Number	Sex	Race	Age
2025	125	83.7% male; 16.3% female	82.5% Black	Ages 35-39 17.1%
2024	192	89.6% male; 10.4% female	82.6% Black	Ages 30-34 18.5%
2020	327	86.1% male; 13.9% female	87% Black	Ages 20-24 25.6%
Non-fatal shootings in Baltimore City				
2025	229/642 ED visits	85.1% male; 10.9% female	65.1% Black	Average 30.7
2024	279/780 ED visits	84.6% male; 14.7% female	81.4% Black	Average 31.9
2020	530/1,410 ED visits (first year of the Covid-19 global pandemic)	87.4% male; 10.7 % female	77.3% Black	Average 29.6

According to the Baltimore Public Safety Accountability Dashboard², as of February 16, 2026, there have been 13 homicides in Baltimore City in 2026, down from 14 as of the same time last year, and non-fatal shootings at 31, up from 30. Though an outcomes assessment has not been conducted to determine what efforts have had the greatest impact on these year-over-year successes, building out the HVIP and CVI ecosystem³ and providing direct services to victims of gun violence have been components of the strategy to reduce gun violence since 2020, when the homicide and shooting rates were at their highest.⁴ **To sustain this downward trend, it is essential to continue ALL these efforts with additional supports for violence intervention professionals and victim rights advocates so they can remain actively engaged in these efforts.**⁵

The role of the “credible messenger” at CVI programs is to reach out directly to those in their communities who are at highest risk of causing or receiving harm from a gun, and of HVIPs is to provide support immediately after violent injury making that initial connection while the person shot is still at the hospital. Both CVI and HVIP programming are evidence-based. Victims’ “services for gun violence survivors are also a critical component of gun violence prevention, because one of the strongest predictors of future violence for young people is surviving it. In order to interrupt this cycle and facilitate physical and emotional healing, survivors need short- and long-term wraparound services. Meeting the needs of survivors furthers community healing and can stop the perpetuation of gun violence. Local, state, and federal policymakers should support the community-led programs that provide care and are filling these gaps and limiting further violence in their community.”¹ Additionally, “[w]hen survivors receive consistent support, trust in public institutions grows, cooperation improves, and communities are better positioned to interrupt cycles of violence. **Victim services are not peripheral to public safety, they are foundational to it.**”²

Furthermore, race, gender³, poverty (family income-level, neighborhood of residence, school conditions), a prior history of victimization (primary or secondary), and intergenerational trauma all are incredibly powerful determinants of how likely it is that someone will be victimized during their lifetime. Most of the people who come to ROAR have experienced *multiple victimizations* over their lifetime.

I am an attorney who has worked with survivors of intimate partner and sexual violence for over 30 years, and for the last 7 years with survivors of gun violence. The vast majority of the survivors I have met over my career have not favored the criminal justice response to their victimization (non-scientifically, I would say over 90%). **So, what do crime victims want?**⁴

¹ <https://everytownresearch.org/report/new-version-community-led-services-for-survivors/>

² https://www.americanprogress.org/article/delivering-accountability-a-plan-to-stop-crime-in-our-communities/?utm_medium=email&_hsenc=p2ANqtz--NvGJtm-0RJm07HwQLDej_J0qsBwq_-Q5GgdD21yncX5SDUDkZzS_399tXEQ8hIH17cKx7E-M6YXE5OU2KOsJEVOHUqg&_hsmi=403466888&utm_content=403466888&utm_source=hs_email

³ This testimony will refer to gender as if there were only two: male and female. I want to recognize and celebrate that there are far more genders than these two.

⁴ Warnken, Heather and Lauritsen, Janet, Who Experiences Violent Victimization and Who Accesses Services?, Center for Victim Research, Findings from the National Crime Victimization Survey for Expanding Our Reach,

- First and foremost, assistance with finding *safe and affordable housing*, especially if they have been victimized at or near their homes and/or are living in parts of the city in which there are high rates of overdoses;
- Easy to access, long-term, and *culturally appropriate counseling* (including group counseling);
- *Non-judgmental and timely assistance in navigating* the complex and bureaucratic systems, such as public benefits, medical/mental health/substance use disorder care, housing, juvenile justice, foster care, etc.
- *Legal Assistance* to minimize the impact of the victimization on housing, employment, education, safety, immigration status, financial security, and privacy/dignity.
- *Autonomy in decision-making* about their experience;
- *Increased support for a diversity of service options*, including more located within communities and at venues unaffiliated with formal justice system processes.⁵
- *Access to job support, transitional housing and other longer-term resources necessary for stabilization and mitigation of risk.*⁶

Without meaningful support to get these needs met and investments in communities to make these wishes a reality, these survivors often experience trauma for years, even the rest of their lives, while trying their best to survive and “deal with” that trauma.

Funding for all these evidence-based and successful programs have been dramatically cut in 2025-26. From 2021-22, the field of gun violence prevention and intervention has received significant investments in Baltimore City – and cities across the US – due to funding provided by the American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) in 2021 and the Bipartisan Safer Communities Act of 2022⁷. However, ARPA funds have mostly been spent and in April 2025 the Trump Administration rescinded over \$800 million of grants already awarded for gun violence prevention. The revised RFP for this funding, released earlier this year, has limiting language of who can be served with this funding that will significantly undercut the veracity of CVI and HVIP programs across the US, so even though the US DOJ is currently touting that the funding has been restored, that is a fallacy. The General Assembly is very aware of the erosion of funding for victims’ services over the last decade. **This bill would create an essential source of revenue that could support these life-saving and life-changing services that have been so critical in driving down the intolerably high rates of gun violence in MD.**

April 2019. https://navaa.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/CVR-Article_Who-Experiences-Violent-Victimization-and-Who-Accesses-Services.pdf; and Crime Survivors Speak, The First-Ever National Survey of Victims’ Views on Safety and Justice, Alliance for Safety and Justice. <https://allianceforsafetyandjustice.org/wp-content/uploads/documents/Crime%20Survivors%20Speak%20Report.pdf>. See page 27, Box 3: “Invest in evidence-based services that protect crime survivors and stop the cycle of victimization”; Updated in 2024: “Listening to Survivors” https://build.allianceforsafetyandjustice.org/sites/default/files/2025-09/Listening-To-Survivors-Accessible-2024.pdf?_gl=1*1p9ouij*_gcl_au*OTM2NDI3MzEwLjE3NzE2MTE0NDU.

⁵ Warnken, Heather, *Untold Stories of California Crime Victims, Research and Recommendations on Repeat Victimization and Rebuilding Lives*, April 2014 (page 19).

https://www.law.berkeley.edu/files/WI_CA_Untold_Stories_03_31_14_lo_res_Final.pdf

⁶ Same report in footnote 3 (page 25).

⁷ <https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/Bipartisan-Safer-Communities-Act-SAP-1.pdf>

There are more guns in circulation in the United States than there are people.⁸ Research overwhelmingly supports that easy access to guns is the largest contributing factor to the high rates of gun wounds and death – from both intentional and unintentional shootings. The gun industry has profited greatly from the false narrative the gun ownership makes one safer, when the opposite is true.⁹ And the SCOTUS has made clear that their interpretation of the Second Amendment means that there are very few allowable limits on gun ownership. Taxing the sale of guns and ammunition strikes a good balance between this interpretation of the 2nd Amendment and the extraordinarily high costs of gun injuries and violence.

For all these reason, Lydia Watts, Executive Director of the ROAR Center at University of Maryland, Baltimore urges the House Ways and Means Committee to support HB197.

Sincerely,



Lydia C. Watts, Esq., MPH
Executive Director
Rebuild, Overcome, and Rise (ROAR) Center
University of Maryland, Baltimore

⁸ <https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/law/gun-ownership>

⁹ <https://publichealth.jhu.edu/center-for-gun-violence-solutions/issues/gun-violence-in-the-united-states#:~:text=Each%20year%20more%20than%20500,referred%20to%20as%20accidental%20shootings.&text=Ea%20sy%20access%20to%20unsecured%20firearms,killed%20by%20an%20unintentional%20shooting.&text=Laws%20that%20promote%20safe%20and,reduce%20unintentional%20shootings%20among%20adults.>

Additional Information about Race and the Criminal Justice System That Would be Exacerbated by the Passage of SB 604

Dating back to 1993, Kimberle Crenshaw wrote: “Women of color [in work cited, the author is referring to survivors of intimate partner violence] are often reluctant to call the police, a hesitancy likely due to a general unwillingness among people of color to subject their private lives to the scrutiny and control of a police force that is frequently hostile. There is also a more generalized community ethic against public intervention, the product of a desire to create a private world free from the diverse assaults on the public lives of racially subordinated people.”¹⁰ “[F]or some people subjected to abuse, the criminal justice system – indeed, any state system – is not a safe and comfortable place within which to seek justice. People of color, who are already overrepresented in the criminal justice system, may have concerns about approaching the state for assistance, fearing that the state will intervene punitively against their partners or against them.”¹¹ And their fears are often warranted. Sometimes calling the police results in homelessness for victims of intimate partner violence,¹² or in their own arrest. These same fears are present – perhaps even heightened – for survivors of gun and other forms of community violence.

Black men are over-represented among those accused of and convicted of violent crime though there is no evidence to suggest that men of color are more prone to violence than white men,¹³ though the media certainly portrays otherwise.¹⁴ The perception of men – particularly young men – of color as inherently more violent has inexorably and negatively shaped lives, communities, history, and political landscapes.¹⁵ Not only are young men of color disproportionately

¹⁰ Kimberle Crenshaw, *Mapping the Margins: Intersectionality, Identity Politics, and Violence Against Women of Color*, 43 STAN. L. REV. 1241, 1257 (1993).

¹¹ Leigh Goodmark, “Law and Justice are Not Always the Same”: *Creating Community-Based Justice Forums for People Subjected to Intimate Partner Abuse*, Florida State University Law Review Vol. 42:707, 720 (year?).

¹² There have been many housing authorities across the country who were exposed in the early 2000s for evicting domestic violence victims for violating the policy that no illegal activity could take place on public housing property, and since she called the police for help, the housing authority was alerted that a crime had occurred – an assault against the victim who is now getting evicted as a result. This practice is still occurring today on privately owned properties. See Jenny Kutner, “Domestic Violence Victims can be Evicted for Calling the Police”, July 14, 2016, <https://mic.com/articles/148484/domestic-violence-victims-can-be-evicted-for-calling-police-here-s-why#.oJfyfDbSK>.

¹³ Kim Farbota, *Black Crime Rates: What Happens When Numbers Aren't Neutral*, January 19, 2016, http://www.huffingtonpost.com/kim-farbota/black-crime-rates-your-st_b_8078586.html.

¹⁴ In *Tough Guise: Violence, Media and the Crisis in Masculinity*, Jackson Katz and Jeremy Earp argue that the media provide an important perspective on social attitudes – and that while the media are not the cause of violent behavior in men and boys, they do portray male violence as a normal expression of masculinity. Earp, Jeremy and Jackson Katz. *Tough Guise: Violence, Media & the Crisis in Masculinity* (study guide). Media Education Foundation, 1999. In 1999, Children Now, a California-based organization that examines the impact of media on children and youth, released a report entitled *Boys to Men: Media Messages About Masculinity*. The report observes that... non-white male characters are more likely to experience personal problems and are more likely to use physical aggression or violence to solve those problems. *Boys to Men: Media Messages About Masculinity*. Children Now, 1999. <http://mediasmarts.ca/gender-representation/men-and-masculinity/how-media-define-masculinity>, last visited 8.23.16.

¹⁵ “This far-reaching form of stereotyping and oppression—what Toni Morrison and others call the “white gaze”—has shaped individual lives and collective histories within communities of color.” David J. Knight, *Beyond the Stereotypical Image of Young Men of Color*, The Atlantic, January 5, 2015.

represented among those accused of using violence, but also of being victims of violence.¹⁶ Yet, their needs as victims of crime, and the commensurate trauma that often comes with victimization, are largely ignored, thereby exacerbating the devastating impact of the continued assault of structural racism.

Since men of color are *perceived* as more prone to be violent, it is likely that they will adopt behaviors to support that perception or assumption. Michelle Alexander in *The New Jim Crow* poses the question, “Are we willing to demonize a population, declare a war against them, and then stand back and heap shame and contempt upon them for failing to behave like model citizens while under attack?”¹⁷ She goes on to say “[t]here is nothing abnormal or surprising about a severely stigmatized group embracing their stigma... Indeed, the act of embracing one’s stigma ...is a political act – an act of resistance and defiance in a society that seeks to demean a group based on an inalterable trait... [E]mbracing the stigma of criminality is an act of rebellion – an attempt to carve out a positive identity in a society that offers them little more than scorn, contempt, and constant surveillance.”¹⁸ The “dance” - or “minstrel show” as Alexander calls it¹⁹ - of men of color acting “ghetto”, violent, posturing to gain some sense of respect and control, plays perfectly into stereotypes and implicit racism that men of color are in fact more violent.

It is important to note, that rates of incarceration have skyrocketed for women during this same time period, at even greater and more astonishing rates.²⁰ “There are 14 times more women in jail in this country today than there were in the 1970s... [And] women typically become incarcerated after experiencing gender-based trauma throughout their lives. About eight in ten [incarcerated women] have experienced domestic partner abuse. A large majority has survived sexual violence.”²¹ Again, women of color are disproportionately represented among the incarcerated. Many of these women are in jail or prison because a violent partner forced them into illegal activity, most typically assisting in some portion of a drug exchange, but also in sex work.²² Other times, women may engage in illegal activity to survive in an environment that does not support poor mothers well, if at all. Over the past 20 years, public benefits have been dramatically cut, affordable housing stock has plummeted, and childcare costs and other costs of living have soared. Livable wages are almost non-existent for those working in certain sectors of

<http://www.theatlantic.com/education/archive/2015/01/beyond-the-stereotypical-image-of-young-men-of-color/384194/>

¹⁶ Danielle Sered, *Young Men of Color and the Other Side of Harm*, Vera Institute of Justice, December 2014.

<http://archive.vera.org/sites/default/files/resources/downloads/young-men-color-disparities-responses-violence.pdf>

¹⁷ Michelle Alexander, *The New Jim Crow: Mass Incarceration in the Age of Colorblindness* (2010), p. 170.

¹⁸ Id at p. 171.

¹⁹ Alexander writes about how the media portrays men of color as caricatures of “racial stereotypes and images associated with the era of mass incarceration – an era in which black people are criminalized and portrayed as out-of-control, shameless, violent, over-sexed and generally underserving.” Id at pg. 173.

²⁰ Coker & Macquoid, *Opposing Hyper-Incarceration*, *supra* note 15 at 588 (2015).

²¹ Micelle Chen, *Why Are There So Many Women in Jail? The number of women in jails has skyrocketed over the past four decades*, <https://www.thenation.com/article/why-are-there-so-many-women-in-jail/>, August 22, 2016.

²² “Survivors who were forced into criminal activity by abusive partners could also be eligible for alternative sentencing under the legislation [Domestic Violence Survivors Justice Act, passed in May 2016 in New York state]. Advocates stress that abusers often use violence to coerce survivors into committing crimes like robbery or drug trafficking.” Melissa Jeltsen, *Should Domestic Violence Victims go to Prison for Killing Their Abusers?*, May 26, 2016, http://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/domestic-violence-prison-legislation_us_573deaa3e4b0ace7b8e94236.

our economy. Working in illegal economies may literally feel like the only choice to financially support oneself and a family.²³

All of this is true also for those selling and buying street-drugs through the State of Maryland. To criminalize those caught in this matrix of racism and poverty will only compound the harm.

²³ “Particularly for women, their interactions with the justice system are often the result of survival efforts,” said Liz Swavola of the Vera Institute of Justice, one of the report’s principal authors. “They are predominantly women of color, and they are overwhelmingly poor.” Rebecca McCray, *There are More Women in U.S. Jails Than Ever Before*, August 17, 2016, <http://www.takepart.com/article/2016/08/16/women-jails?cmpid=tp-twtr>. “Women often become involved with the justice system as a result of efforts to cope with life challenges such as poverty, unemployment, and significant physical or behavioral health struggles.” Vera Institute of Justice, *Overlooked: Women and Jails in an Era of Reform*, August 2016.