## COUNTY COUNCIL OF PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY, MARYLAND 2024 Legislative Session

Bill No.			CB-077-	2024			
Chapter No			62				
Proposed and P	resented by	The Chai	r (by reque	est – Planning 1	Board)		
Introduced by	Council Me	mbers Bleg	gay, Watso	n, Olson, Ivey,	Fisher, Burroug	ghs,	
		Hav	vkins and I	Dernoga			
Co-Sponsors							
Date of Introdu	ction	0	ctober 22,	2024			
BILL							
AN ACT concern	ing						
	Woodland	and Wildli	ife Habitat	Conservation (	Ordinance		
For the purpose of revising the validity and grandfathering and notification requirements in the							
existing subtitle;	and generally	regarding	woodland	and wildlife ha	bitat conservation	<u>on.</u>	
BY repealing and	reenacting w	ith amendn	ments:				
	SUB'	ΓITLE 25.	TREES A	ND VEGETA	ΓΙΟΝ.		
	Section	on 25-119					
The Prince George's County Code							
	(2023	B Edition).					
SECTION 1	. BE IT ENA	CTED by	the County	Council of Pri	ince George's Co	ounty,	
Maryland, that Se	ection 25-119	of the Princ	ce George'	s County Code	be and the same	is hereby	
repealed and reen	acted with the	e following	g amendme	nts:			
	SUBT	TLE 25.	TREES A	ND VEGETA	TION.		
DIVISIO	N 2. WOOD	LAND AN	D WILDI	IFE HABITA	T CONSERVA	ATION	
		(	ORDINAN	CE.			
Sec. 25-119. App	plicability.						
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
(c) Plan Re	eview and Cor	ıformance					
(1) Th	ie approval au	thority for	TCPs is th	e same as that	of the associated	1 DRD	
application If the	re is no assoc	iated DRD	annlicatio	n the Plannino	Director is the	annroving	

1	authority.					
2	(A) If a TCP1 has been approved for a site, all subsequent TCP2 plans must be					
3	in conformance with the TCP1.					
4	[(i) For TCP minor revisions:]					
5	[(aa)] (2) If the TCP2 is to be approved by the Planning Board, conformance with the TCP1,					
6	if applicable, shall be determined by the Planning Board.					
7	[(bb)] (3) If a TCP2 is to be approved by the Planning Director, it shall be in conformance					
8	with this Division, and in conformance with the TCP1, if applicable, [as follows:]					
9	(4) For TCP minor and major revisions:					
10	(A) The following criteria constitutes a minor revision:					
11	(i) The proposed TCP continues to meet all required elements of this					
12	Division.					
13	(ii) The TCP does not affect lots or parcels already sold to builders or					
14	homeowners.					
15	(iii) Revisions requested by builders or homeowners for single lots or					
16	parcels.					
17	(iv) The proposed change:					
18	(aa) Is a revision as a result from governmental requirements as further					
19	defined in the Technical Manual; OR					
20	[(i)] (bb) Does not reduce the total woodland conservation on the site by					
21	[Any proposed reduction in the total woodland conservation on the site shall not exceed] the					
22	greater of 5,000 square feet or 5 percent (not to exceed five (5) acres) of the area of on-site					
23	woodland conservation originally approved; and					
24	[(ii)] (cc) Does not change the location or type of woodland conservation by					
25	[The proposed change in the location or type of woodland conservation shall not exceed] the					
26	greater of 10,000 square feet or 10% of the area of woodland conservation originally approved;					
27	and					
28	[(iii)] (dd) Does not adversely affect [S] specimen, champion or historic					
29	trees specifically identified for preservation. [will not be adversely affected; or]					
30	[(iv) The proposed change or reduction results from governmental					
31	requirements.					

1	(v) The proposed TCP continues to meet all required elements of this
2	Division.
3	(vi) The TCP does not affect lots already sold to builders or homeowners.]
4	[(ii)](B) For TCP major revisions:
5	[(aa)] (i) If a criterion in [(ii.)] (A) above cannot be met, the TCP[2]
6	shall be duly advertised and the approval authority becomes the Planning Board and shall
7	comply with the current regulations of this Division.
8	[(B)] (C) If no TCP1 exists and one is not required, each TCP2 shall [be in conformance
9	with this Division] comply with the current regulations of this Division.
10	[(2) For those TCP applications that propose clearing of woodland, written notice shall
11	be mailed to the abutting and adjacent property owners at least 20 days before the TCP is
12	approved. The notice shall provide such property owners an opportunity to provide written
13	comment. If the application proposes clearing 75% or more of woodland and the net tract area is
۱4	five (5) acres or more, the abutting and adjacent property owners will be advised of the process
15	for providing written and verbal comments.]
16	(5) Written notice shall be mailed for TCP applications in accordance with the
۱7	following:
18	(A) For TCP applications that are companion to a DRD application that requires
19	notification, a notification shall state that a TCP will be reviewed concurrently with the DRD
20	application. The applicable mailing, signage, and opportunity to provide written or verbal
21	comment shall follow the processes and procedures required by the associated DRD application.
22	If the DRD does not require notification, the notification process will be in accordance with
23	Subsection 25-119(c)(5)(B).
24	(B) For those stand-alone TCP applications that are not associated with a DRD
25	application and are proposing clearing, written notice shall be mailed to the parties listed in
26	Subsection 27-3407(b)(1) of the Zoning Ordinance for Detailed Site Plans at least 20 days before
27	the TCP is approved and the parties notified shall be advised of the process for providing written
28	comments. If the application proposes clearing of 50% or more of woodland and the net tract
29	area is four (4) acres or more, the parties notified shall be advised of the process for providing
30	written and verbal comments.
31 I	[(3)] (6) Plan validity requirements are as follows:

1	(A) TCP1s [and TCP2s] expire if the associated plan expires [unless a permit has					
2	been issued].					
3	(B) An application for a TCP2 approved on or after July 1, 2024, shall be valid					
4	for 5 years from the date of approval unless a grading permit has been issued.					
5	[(B)] (C) Once a grading permit has been issued, TCP2s do not expire, and the					
6	requirements run with the land in perpetuity until an approved revision to the TCP2 is acquired.					
7	[(C) Requests to the Planning Board for extensions of previously approved DRD					
8	applications must include the associated TCP for that plan's validity period to also be extended.]					
9	(D) Plans that are grandfathered are subject to the expiration provisions [of this					
10	division] stated in Subsections 25-119(g) and (h).					
11	[(E) An application for a TCP2 approved after July 1, 2024 shall be valid for 5 years					
12	from the date of approval.]					
13	[(4)] (7) TCPs shall be prepared in conformance with the Technical Manual.					
14	[(5)] (8) TCPs must include the legal boundaries of all lots or parcels in their entirety					
15	unless they are government or linear in nature. For linear projects, refer to Section 25-119(f) for					
16	the requirements. For government projects, refer to Section 25-119(e) [or] for the requirements.					
17	TCPs shall include the same property as the associated application, unless a previous TCP					
18	approval covered a larger area or a larger area is warranted by supporting development on an					
19	adjacent lot, parcel or combination of lots or parcels.					
20	[(6)] (9) TCP2s shall not be approved separately for sites that are either currently					
21	under review for a DRD application or that require the submittal of a DRD application prior to					
22	development.					
23	* * * * * *					
24	(g) Grandfathering of applications and plans.					
25	(1) In this subsection and (h), the following term has the meaning indicated.					
26	Implementation or implemented shall be defined as: the issuance of a grading permit for at least					
27	twenty-five percent (25%) of the land area within the associated TCP, [the site or any portion of					
28	the site,] completion of a pre-construction meeting with the applicable permitting agency, and					
29	installation of tree protective devices verified by a qualified professional.					
30	[(1)] (2) TCP applications that are accepted for review on or before June 30, 2024 shall be					
31	grandfathered and shall be subject to the regulations in place at the time of acceptance. Plans that					

are grandfathered are subject to the expiration provisions of this Division.

- (3) A project with an approved TCP that was approved on or before June 30, 2024 and has been implemented on the property or a portion thereof as confirmed by the county permitting authority shall be grandfathered and subject to the regulation in place at the time of its acceptance.
- [(2) TCPs that were approved prior to September 12, 2010 and do not have an approved grading permit that has been implemented with a pre-construction meeting and installation of approved tree protection devices as confirmed by the county are considered expired on the effective date of this ordinance. A new TCP shall be reviewed and approved using the provisions of this Division.
- (3) TCPs that were approved on or after September 12, 2010 and before July 1, 2024 shall be grandfathered and shall be subject to the regulations in place at the time of approval. These plans will expire on July 1, 2028 if these plans do not have an approved grading permit that has been implemented with a pre-construction meeting and installation of approved tree protection devices as confirmed by the county. A new TCP shall be reviewed and approved using the provisions of this Division.]
- (4) <u>Grandfathered TCPs</u> [that are approved prior to July 1, 2024] shall comply with the [provisions] <u>current regulations</u> of this Division when being revised <u>as a major revision</u> in accordance with [Sub 25-119(c)(1)(A)] <u>Sub 25-119(c)(4)(B)</u>. When being revised as a minor revision in accordance with Subsection 25-119(c)(4)(A), grandfathered TCPs may comply with the regulations in place at the time of acceptance of the previously approved TCP.
- (5) Applications for woodland conservation banks that were submitted before December 31, 2020 that provide for conservation of all or part of an existing forest shall be grandfathered.
- [(6) Standard and Numbered Letters of Exemption issued prior to July 1, 2024 shall remain valid and shall expire on the date stated on the exemption letter. Projects with expired Standard and Numbered Letters of Exemption shall comply with the current regulations of this Division.]
- (6) Standard and Numbered Letters of Exemption which were valid as of June 30, 2024, shall remain valid until June 30, 2026, on which date the exemption shall expire. Projects with expired Standard and Numbered Letters of Exemption shall comply with the current

1 regulations of this Division.

(7) The grandfathered projects may be subject to an impact fee to ensure that funds are available to provide for retention and reforestation. A study/assessment to be performed by the Department of Environment, that will be completed by March 1, 2025 and presented to Council for legislative approval shall determine whether an impact fee may be imposed, criteria for applying to projects, process and waiver provisions. Any impact fees resulting from the study will be used to plant or retain trees in the County.

## (h) Expiration of grandfathered plans.

- (1) A TCP that was approved prior to September 1, 2010, and has not been implemented on all or a portion of the site as confirmed by the county permitting authority expires on July 1, 2024. Any new TCP shall be reviewed and approved subject to the provisions of this Division effective July 1, 2024.
- (2) A TCP that was approved on or after September 1, 2010, and before July 1, 2024, shall be grandfathered and shall be subject to the regulations in place at the time of approval. These plans will expire on July 1, 2029 if not implemented on the property or a portion thereof as confirmed by the county permitting authority. A new TCP shall be reviewed and approved subject to the provisions of this Division.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

SECTION 2. BE IT FURTHER ENACTED that the provisions of this Act are hereby declared to be severable; and, in the event that any section, subsection, paragraph, subparagraph, sentence, clause, phrase, or word of this Act is declared invalid or unconstitutional by a court of competent jurisdiction, such invalidity or unconstitutionality shall not affect the remaining words, phrases, clauses, sentences, subparagraphs, paragraphs, subsections, or sections of this Act, since the same would have been enacted without the incorporation in this Act of any such invalid or unconstitutional word, phrase, clause, sentence, paragraph, subparagraph, subsection, or section.

SECTION 3. BE IT FURTHER ENACTED that this Act shall take effect forty-five (45) calendar days after it becomes law.

## Adopted this 19th day of November, 2024.

COUNTY COUNCIL OF PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY, MARYLAND

BY:

Jolene Ivey

Chair

ATTEST:

Donna J. Brown

Clerk of the Council

APPROVED:

DATE: December 18, 2024

BY:

Tara H. Jackson

**Acting County Executive** 

KEY:

Underscoring indicates language added to existing law.

[Brackets] indicate language deleted from existing law.

Asterisks \*\*\* indicate intervening existing Code provisions that remain unchanged.